

Carleman and Observability Estimates for Stochastic Wave Equations*

Xu Zhang[†]

Abstract

Based on a fundamental identity for stochastic hyperbolic-like operators, we derive in this paper a global Carleman estimate (with singular weight function) for stochastic wave equations. This leads to an observability estimate for stochastic wave equations with non-smooth lower order terms. Moreover, the observability constant is estimated by an explicit function of the norm of the involved coefficients in the equation.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35B45; Secondary 93B07, 35R30.

Key Words. Carleman estimate, singular weight function, observability estimate, stochastic wave equation.

1 Introduction and main results

Let $T > 0$, $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be a given bounded domain with a C^2 boundary Γ . Fix any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{G}$. It is clear that

$$0 < R_0 \triangleq \min_{x \in G} |x - x_0| < R_1 \triangleq \max_{x \in G} |x - x_0|. \quad (1.1)$$

Put

$$\Gamma_0 \triangleq \{x \in \Gamma \mid (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) > 0\}, \quad (1.2)$$

*This work was supported by the NSF of China under grant 10525105 and the NCET of China under grant NCET-04-0882. Part of this work was done when the author visited the Shanghai Key Laboratory for Contemporary Applied Mathematics at Fudan University. The author acknowledges Professor Shanjian Tang for stimulating discussion.

[†]Key Laboratory of Systems and Control, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China; and Yangtze Center of Mathematics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, China. *E-mail:* xuzhang@amss.ac.cn.

where $\nu(x)$ is the unit outward normal vector of G at $x \in \Gamma$. Also, put $Q \triangleq (0, T) \times G$, $\Sigma \triangleq (0, T) \times \Gamma$ and $\Sigma_0 \triangleq (0, T) \times \Gamma_0$. Throughout this paper, we will use C to denote a generic positive constant depending only on T , G and G_0 , which may change from line to line.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)$ be a complete filtered probability space on which a one dimensional standard Brownian motion $\{w(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is defined. Let H be a Banach space. We denote by $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; H)$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $\mathbb{E}(|X(\cdot)|^2_{L^2(0, T; H)}) < \infty$, with the canonical norm; by $L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; H)$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted bounded processes; and by $L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ the Banach space consisting of all H -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted continuous processes $X(\cdot)$ such that $\mathbb{E}(|X(\cdot)|^2_{C([0, T]; H)}) < \infty$, with the canonical norm.

Assume

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &\in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^\infty(G)), & a_2 &\in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^\infty(G; \mathbb{R}^n)), \\ a_3 &\in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^n(G)), & a_4 &\in L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^\infty(G)), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$f \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(G)), \quad g \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(G)). \quad (1.4)$$

Let us consider the following stochastic wave equation:

$$\begin{cases} dy_t - \Delta y dt = (a_1 y_t + \langle a_2, \nabla y \rangle + a_3 y + f) dt + (a_4 y + g) dw(t) & \text{in } Q, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Sigma, \\ y(0) = y_0, \quad y_t(0) = y_1 & \text{in } G. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Here, we denote the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. For any initial data

$$(y_0, y_1) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; H_0^1(G) \times L^2(G)), \quad (1.6)$$

it is easy to show that system (1.5) admits one and only one weak solution

$$y \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega; C([0, T]; H_0^1(G)) \cap C^1([0, T]; L^2(G))).$$

By means of the classical multiplier approach and energy estimate, following [4, 6], it is not difficult to show the following hidden regularity for the solution of system (1.5) (Hence we omit the details):

Proposition 1.1. *Under assumptions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.6), the solution of system (1.5) satisfies $\frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma))$. Moreover*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(\Gamma))} \\ & \leq C \left[|(y_0, y_1)|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; H_0^1(G) \times L^2(G))} + |f|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(G))} + |g|_{L^2_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^2(G))} \right] \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ C \left[|(a_1, a_4)|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; (L^\infty(G))^2)}^2 + |a_2|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^\infty(G; \mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + |a_3|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0, T; L^n(G))}^2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The main purpose of this paper is to derive a boundary observability estimate for system (1.5). For this, we choose a sufficiently small constant $c \in (0, 1)$ so that (Recall (1.1) for R_0 and R_1)

$$\frac{(4 + 5c)R_0^2}{9c} > R_1^2.$$

Then, in the sequel, we take $T(> 2R_1)$ sufficiently large such that

$$\frac{4(4 + 5c)R_0^2}{9c} > c^2 T^2 > 4R_1^2. \quad (1.8)$$

Our observability estimate for system (1.5) is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (1.3)–(1.4) hold, R_1 and Γ_0 be given respectively by (1.1) and (1.2), and T satisfy (1.8). Then solutions of system (1.5) satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} & |(y(T), y_t(T))|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; H_0^1(G) \times L^2(G))} \\ & \leq C \left[\left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(\Gamma_0))} + |f|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(G))} + |g|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^2(0, T; L^2(G))} \right] \\ & \quad \times \exp \left\{ C \left[|(a_1, a_4)|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty(0, T; (L^\infty(G))^2)}^2 + |a_2|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty(0, T; L^\infty(G; \mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + |a_3|_{L_{\mathcal{F}}^\infty(0, T; L^n(G))}^2 \right] \right\}, \\ & \quad \forall (y_0, y_1) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; H_0^1(G) \times L^2(G)). \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

It is well-known that observability estimate is an important tool for the study of stabilization and controllability problems for deterministic PDEs. We refer to [8] for a recent survey in this respect. Although there are numerous references addressed to the observability problems for deterministic PDEs, very little is known for the stochastic counterpart and it remains to be further understood. Indeed, to the best of our knowledge, [1] is the only one publication in this field, which is devoted to the controllability/observability for the stochastic heat equation. As far as we know, nothing is known for the observability estimate on the stochastic wave equation.

Similar to the deterministic setting, we shall use a stochastic version of the global Carleman estimate to establish inequality (1.9). The difficulty to do this is the very fact that, unlike the deterministic situation, system (1.5), a stochastic wave equation, is *time-irreversible*. Therefore, one can not simply mimic the usual Carleman inequality for the deterministic wave equations (See [2, 6] and the references cited therein). Rather, instead of the usual smooth weight function, one has to introduce another singular weight function to derive the desired Carleman estimate for system (1.5).

More precisely, for any (large) $\lambda > 0$ and any (small) $c > 0$, set

$$\ell = \ell(t, x) \triangleq \lambda \left[|x - x_0|^2 - c \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right], \quad \theta \triangleq e^\ell. \quad (1.10)$$

Also, for any $\beta > 0$, we set

$$\Theta = \Theta(t) \triangleq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta}{t(T-t)} \right\}, \quad 0 < t < T. \quad (1.11)$$

It is easy to see that $\Theta(t)$ decays rapidly to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$ or $t \rightarrow T$. Our Carleman estimate for system (1.5) is stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (1.3)–(1.4) hold, R_1 and Γ_0 be given respectively by (1.1) and (1.2), and T satisfy (1.8). Then there exist a constant $\beta > 0$ (which is very small), and a constant*

$$\lambda^* = C \left[1 + |(a_1, a_4)|_{L^\infty(0,T;(L^\infty(G))^2)}^2 + |a_2|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(G;\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + |a_3|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^n(G))}^2 \right],$$

such that solutions of system (1.5) satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 (y_t^2 + |\nabla y|^2 + \lambda^2 y^2) dx dt \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left\{ \lambda \int_{\Sigma_0} \Theta \theta^2 \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Sigma_0 + \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 (f^2 + \lambda g^2) dx dt \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

$$\forall (y_0, y_1) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0, P; H_0^1(G) \times L^2(G)), \quad \forall \lambda \geq \lambda^*.$$

Carleman estimate is a fundamental tool for the study of control and inverse problems for deterministic PDEs ([3, 8]). Similar to the situation for observability estimate, although there are numerous references addressed to Carleman estimate for deterministic PDEs, to the best of our knowledge, [1, 5] are the only two references for the stochastic counterpart, which are devoted to the stochastic heat equation. It would be quite interesting to extend the deterministic Carleman estimate for other PDEs to the stochastic ones, but there are many things to be done, and some of which seem to be challenging. In this paper, in order to present the key idea in the simplest way, we do not pursue the full technical generality.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, as a key preliminary, we present an identity for a stochastic hyperbolic-like operator. Then, in Section 3, we derive pointwise Carleman-type estimates for the stochastic wave operator. Finally, Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2.

2 Identity for a stochastic hyperbolic-like operator

For simplicity, we denote $\sum_{i,j=1}^n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n$ simply by $\sum_{i,j}$ and $\sum_i$, respectively. Also, we will use the notation $u_i = u_{x_i}$, where x_i is the i -th coordinate of a generic point $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In a similar manner, we use the notation ℓ_i , v_i , etc. for the partial derivatives of ℓ and v with respect to x_i .

We show the following fundamental identity for a stochastic hyperbolic-like operator:

Theorem 2.1. Let $b^{ij} \in C^1((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$b^{ij} = b^{ji}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (2.1)$$

$u, \ell, \Psi \in C^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume u is a $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes such that u_t is a $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued semi-martingale. Set $\theta = e^\ell$ and $v = \theta u$. Then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and P -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) \left[du_t - \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} u_i)_j dt \right] \\ & + \sum_{i,j} \left[\sum_{i',j'} \left(2b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_{i'} v_i v_{j'} - b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_i v_{i'} v_{j'} \right) - 2b^{ij} \ell_t v_i v_t + b^{ij} \ell_i v_t^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Psi b^{ij} v_i v - \left(A \ell_i + \frac{\Psi_i}{2} \right) b^{ij} v^2 \right] dt \\ & + d \left[\sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_t v_i v_j - 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j v_t + \ell_t v_t^2 - \Psi v_t v + \left(A \ell_t + \frac{\Psi_t}{2} \right) v^2 \right] \\ & = \left\{ \left[\ell_{tt} + \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_i)_j - \Psi \right] v_t^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} \left[(b^{ij} \ell_j)_t + b^{ij} \ell_{tj} \right] v_i v_t \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{i,j} \left\{ (b^{ij} \ell_t)_t + \sum_{i',j'} \left[2b^{ij'} (b^{i'j} \ell_{i'})_{j'} - (b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_{i'})_{j'} \right] + \Psi b^{ij} \right\} v_i v_j \\ & \quad \left. + B v^2 + \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right)^2 \right\} dt + \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} A \triangleq (\ell_t^2 - \ell_{tt}) - \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_i \ell_j - b_j^{ij} \ell_i - b^{ij} \ell_{ij}) - \Psi, \\ B \triangleq A \Psi + (A \ell_t)_t - \sum_{i,j} (A b^{ij} \ell_i)_j + \frac{1}{2} \left[\Psi_{tt} - \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \Psi_i)_j \right]. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Recall that

$$v(t, x) = \theta(t, x) u(t, x).$$

Hence $u_t = \theta^{-1}(v_t - \ell_t v)$ and $u_j = \theta^{-1}(v_j - \ell_j v)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence,

$$du_t = \theta^{-1} [dv_t - 2\ell_t v_t dt + (\ell_t^2 - \ell_{tt}) v dt]. \quad (2.4)$$

Similarly, by symmetry condition (2.1), one may check that

$$\sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} u_i)_j = \theta^{-1} \sum_{i,j} \left[(b^{ij} v_i)_j - 2b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + (b^{ij} \ell_i \ell_j - b_j^{ij} \ell_i - b^{ij} \ell_{ij}) v \right]. \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore, by (2.4)–(2.5), and recalling the definition of A in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) \left[du_t - \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} u_i)_j dt \right] \\
&= \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) \left\{ dv_t - \left[\sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} v_i)_j - Av \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2\ell_t v_t - 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j - \Psi v \right] dt \right\} \\
&= \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) dv_t \\
&\quad + \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) \left[- \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} v_i)_j + Av \right] dt \\
&\quad + \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right)^2 dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

We now analyze the first two terms in the right hand side of (2.6).

First, using Itô's formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) dv_t \\
&= d \left[\left(-\ell_t v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j + \Psi v \right) v_t \right] \\
&\quad - \left[-\ell_{tt} v_t^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_i)_t v_j v_t + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_{tj} v_t + \Psi v_t^2 + \Psi_t v v_t \right] dt + \ell_t (dv_t)^2 \\
&= d \left(-\ell_t v_t^2 + 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j v_t + \Psi v v_t - \frac{\Psi_t}{2} v^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \left\{ - \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_i v_t^2)_j + \left[\ell_{tt} + \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_i)_j - \Psi \right] v_t^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_j)_t v_i v_t + \frac{\Psi_{tt}}{2} v^2 \right\} dt \\
&\quad + \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2\ell_t v_t \left[- \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} v_i)_j + Av \right] \\
&= 2 \left[\sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_t v_i v_t)_j - \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_{tj} v_i v_t \right] - \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_t (v_i v_j)_t - A \ell_t (v^2)_t \\
&= 2 \left[\sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_t v_i v_t)_j - \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_{tj} v_i v_t \right] + \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \ell_t)_t v_i v_j \\
&\quad - \left(\sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_t v_i v_j + A \ell_t v^2 \right)_t + (A \ell_t)_t v^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Further, by means of a direct computation, one may check that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} \ell_i v_j \left[- \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} v_i)_j + Av \right] \\
&= - \sum_{i,j} \left[\sum_{i',j'} \left(2b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_{i'} v_i v_{j'} - b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_i v_{i'} v_{j'} \right) - Ab^{ij} \ell_i v^2 \right]_j \\
& \quad + \sum_{i,j,i',j'} \left[2b^{ij'} (b^{i'j} \ell_{i'})_{j'} - (b^{ij} b^{i'j'} \ell_{i'})_{j'} \right] v_i v_j - \sum_{i,j} (Ab^{ij} \ell_i)_j v^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi v \left[- \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} v_i)_j + Av \right] &= - \sum_{i,j} \left(\Psi b^{ij} v_i v - \frac{\Psi_i}{2} b^{ij} v^2 \right)_j + \Psi \sum_{i,j} b^{ij} v_i v_j \\
& \quad + \left[- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (b^{ij} \Psi_i)_j + A\Psi \right] v^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Finally, combining (2.6)–(2.10), we arrive at the desired equality (2.2). $\square$

3 Pointwise Carleman-type estimates for the stochastic wave operator

In this section, we show a pointwise Carleman-type estimate (with singular weight) for the stochastic wave operator “ $du_t - \Delta u dt$ ”.

To begin with, by taking $(b^{ij})_{n \times n} = I$, the identity matrix, and $\theta = e^\ell$ (with ℓ given in (1.10)) in Theorem 2.1, one has the following pointwise Carleman-type estimate for the stochastic wave operator.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u, \ell, \Psi \in C^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume u is a $H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted processes such that u_t is a $L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued semi-martingale. Set $v = \theta u$. Then for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and P -a.s. $\omega \in \Omega$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v)(du_t - \Delta u dt) \\
& \quad + d \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ 2v_i (\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) - \ell_i |\nabla v|^2 - 2\ell_t v_i v_t + \ell_i v_t^2 + \Psi v v_i - A \ell_i v^2 \right\}_i dt \\
& \geq \left[(1-k)\lambda v_t^2 + (k+3-4c)\lambda |\nabla v|^2 + Bv^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 \right] dt + \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \Psi \triangleq (2n - 2c - 1 + k)\lambda, \\ A = 4 \left[c^2 \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 - |x - x_0|^2 \right] \lambda^2 + \lambda(4c + 1 - k), \\ B = 4 \left[(4c + 5 - k)|x - x_0|^2 - (8c + 1 - k)c^2 \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right] \lambda^3 + O(\lambda^2). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

The desired pointwise Carleman-type estimate (with singular weight function Θ) for the stochastic wave operator reads as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u \in C^2([0, T] \times \bar{\Omega})$, $v = \theta u$, and T satisfy (1.8). Then there exist three constant $\lambda_0 > 0$, $\beta_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$, independent of u , such that for all $\beta \in (0, \beta_0)$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta \theta (-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v) (du_t - \Delta u dt) \\ & + d \left\{ \Theta \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] \right\} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \Theta \left[2v_i (\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) - \ell_i |\nabla v|^2 - 2\ell_t v_t v_i + \ell_i v_t^2 + \Psi v v_i - A \ell_i v^2 \right] \right\}_i dt \\ & \geq \left[c_0 \lambda \Theta \theta^2 (u_t^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + \lambda^2 u^2) + \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 \right] dt + \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with A and Ψ given by (3.2).

Remark 3.1. *The main difference between the pointwise estimates (3.1) and (3.3) is that we introduce a singular “pointwise” weight in (3.3). Another difference between (3.1) and (3.3) is that T is arbitrary in the former estimate; while for the later one needs to take T to be large enough.*

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We use some idea in the proof of [7, Theorem 1]. The proof is divided it into several steps.

Step 1. We multiply both sides of inequality (3.1) by Θ . Obviously, we have (recall (3.2) for A and Ψ)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta d \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] \\ & = d \left\{ \Theta \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] \right\} \\ & \quad - \frac{\beta(T - 2t)}{t^2(T - t)^2} \Theta \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| -\frac{\beta(T-2t)}{t^2(T-t)^2} \Theta \left[-2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t \right] \right| \\
& \leq \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{t^2(T-t)^2} \Theta \left[2|(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t| + |\Psi v v_t| \right] \\
& \leq \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{t^2(T-t)^2} \Theta \left[(|\nabla \ell| + 1) v_t^2 + |\nabla \ell| |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Psi^2 v^2 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Thus by (3.1), and using (3.4)–(3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Theta \theta (-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v) (du_t - \Delta u dt) \\
& + d \left\{ \Theta \left[\ell_t (v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) - 2(\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) v_t - \Psi v v_t + A \ell_t v^2 \right] \right\} \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \Theta \left[2v_i (\nabla \ell) \cdot (\nabla v) - \ell_i |\nabla v|^2 - 2\ell_t v_t v_i + \ell_i v_t^2 + \Psi v v_i - A \ell_i v^2 \right] \right\}_i dt \\
& \geq \left\{ \Theta(1-k) \lambda v_t^2 + \Theta(k+3-4c) \lambda |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{\beta(T-2t)}{t^2(T-t)^2} \ell_t \Theta(v_t^2 + |\nabla v|^2) \right. \\
& \quad - \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{t^2(T-t)^2} \Theta \left[(|\nabla \ell| + 1) v_t^2 + |\nabla \ell| |\nabla v|^2 \right] \\
& \quad + \left[B + \frac{\beta(T-2t)}{t^2(T-t)^2} \ell_t A - \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{4t^2(T-t)^2} \Psi^2 \right] \Theta v^2 + \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 \Big\} dt \\
& + \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where B is given by (3.2).

Step 2. Recalling that ℓ and Ψ are given respectively by (1.10) and (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{RHS of (3.6)} &= \left[\lambda \Theta (F_1 v_t^2 + F_2 |\nabla v|^2) + \lambda^3 \Theta G v^2 + \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 \right] dt \\
&+ \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (du_t)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$F_1 \triangleq 1 - k + \frac{c\beta(T-2t)^2}{t^2(T-t)^2} - \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{t^2(T-t)^2} (2|x-x_0| + \lambda^{-1}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$F_2 \triangleq k + 3 - 4c + \frac{c\beta(T-2t)^2}{t^2(T-t)^2} - \frac{2\beta|T-2t||x-x_0|}{t^2(T-t)^2}, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G &\triangleq 4 \left[(4c+5-k) |x-x_0|^2 - (8c+1-k) c^2 \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}) \\
&+ \frac{\beta|T-2t|}{t^2(T-t)^2} \left\{ 4c|T-2t| \left[c^2 (t-T/2)^2 - |x-x_0|^2 \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}) \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Step 3. Let us show that F_1 , F_2 and G are positive when λ is large enough and β is sufficiently small. For this, put

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^0 &\triangleq 1 - k, & F_2^0 &\triangleq k + 3 - 4c, \\ G^0 &\triangleq 4 \left[(4c + 5 - k)|x - x_0|^2 - (8c + 1 - k)c^2 \left(t - \frac{T}{2} \right)^2 \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

which are respectively the nonsingular part of F_1 , F_2 and G . Similarly, put

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^1 &\triangleq \frac{c\beta(T - 2t)^2}{t^2(T - t)^2} - \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2}(2|x - x_0| + \lambda^{-1}), & F_2^1 &\triangleq \frac{c\beta(T - 2t)^2}{t^2(T - t)^2} - \frac{2\beta|T - 2t||x - x_0|}{t^2(T - t)^2}, \\ G^1 &\triangleq \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2} \left\{ 4c|T - 2t| \left[c^2(t - T/2)^2 - |x - x_0|^2 \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which are respectively the singular part of F_1 , F_2 and G .

Further, we choose $k = 1 - c$. It is easy to see that both F_1^0 and F_2^0 are positive, and

$$G^0 \geq 4(4 + 5c)R_0^2 - 9c^3T^2 + O(\lambda^{-1}),$$

which, via the first inequality in (1.8), is positive provided that λ is sufficiently large.

When t is close to 0 or T , i.e., $t \in I_0 \triangleq (0, \delta_0) \cup (T - \delta_0, T)$ for some sufficiently small $\delta_0 \in (0, T/2)$, the dominant terms in F_i ($i = 1, 2$) and G are the singular ones. For $t \in I_0$,

$$F_1^1 \geq \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2} [c(T - 2\delta_0) - 2R_1 - \lambda^{-1}] = \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2} (cT - 2R_1 - 2c\delta_0 - \lambda^{-1}),$$

which, via the second inequality in (1.8), is positive provided that both δ_0 and λ^{-1} are sufficiently small. Similarly, for $t \in I_0$, F_2^0 is positive provided that δ_0 is sufficiently small. Further, for $t \in I_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} G^1 &\geq \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2} \left\{ 4c|T - 2\delta_0| \left[c^2(\delta_0 - T/2)^2 - R_1^2 \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}) \right\} \\ &\geq \frac{\beta|T - 2t|}{t^2(T - t)^2} \left\{ 4c|T - 2\delta_0| \left[c^2T^2/4 - R_1^2 + c^2\delta_0(\delta_0 - T) \right] + O(\lambda^{-1}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which, via the second inequality in (1.8), is positive provided that both δ_0 and λ^{-1} are sufficiently small.

By (3.8)–(3.10), we see that $F_1 = F_1^0 + F_1^1$, $F_2 = F_2^0 + F_2^1$ and $G = G^0 + G^1$. Noting the positivity of F_1^0 , F_2^0 and G^0 , by the above argument, we see that F_1 , F_2 and G are positive for $t \in I_0$. For $t \in (0, T) \setminus I_0$, noting again the positivity of F_1^0 , F_2^0 and G^0 , one can choose $\beta > 0$ sufficiently small such that F_1^1 , F_2^1 and G^1 are very small so that F_1 , F_2 and G are positive. Hence (3.6)–(3.7) yield the desired (3.3). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1. $\square$

4 Proof of Theorems 1.1-1.2

We are now in a position to prove Theorems 1.1-1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The key idea is to apply Theorem 3.1. Integrating both sides of (3.3) (with u replaced by y , and $v = \theta y$), using integration by parts, and recalling that $\Theta(t)$ decays exponentially to 0 as $t \rightarrow 0$ or $t \rightarrow T$, noting that $v|_\Sigma = 0$ (and hence $\nabla v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \nu$ on Σ), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_Q \left[c_0 \lambda \Theta \theta^2 (y_t^2 + |\nabla y|^2 + \lambda^2 y^2) + \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 \right] dx dt \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta (-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v) (dy_t - \Delta y dt) dx - \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (dy_t)^2 dx \\ & \quad + \mathbb{E} \int_\Sigma \Theta \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \nu} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

By the first equation of system (1.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta (-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v) (dy_t - \Delta y dt) dx - \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (dy_t)^2 dx \\ & = \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta (-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v) (a_1 y_t + \langle a_2, \nabla y \rangle + a_3 y + f) dx dt \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 \ell_t (a_4 y + g)^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + C \left\{ \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 \left[a_1 y_t + \langle a_2, \nabla y \rangle + a_3 y + f \right]^2 dx dt + \lambda \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 (a_4 y + g)^2 dx dt \right\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \left(-2\ell_t v_t + 2\nabla \ell \cdot \nabla v + \psi v \right)^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + C \left\{ \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 (f^2 + \lambda g^2) dx dt + |a_1|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;(L^\infty(G)))}^2 \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 y_t^2 dx dt \right. \\ & \quad + \lambda \left[|a_3|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;L^n(G))}^2 + |a_4|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;(L^\infty(G)))}^2 \right] \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 y^2 dx dt \\ & \quad \left. + \left[|a_2|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;L^\infty(G;\mathbb{R}^n))}^2 + |a_3|_{L^\infty_{\mathcal{F}}(0,T;L^n(G))}^2 \right] \mathbb{E} \int_Q \Theta \theta^2 |\nabla y|^2 dx dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, recalling (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_\Sigma \Theta \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \nu} \left| \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma dt = 2\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_\Sigma \Theta \theta^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma dt \\ & \leq 2\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_{\Sigma_0} \Theta \theta^2 (x - x_0) \cdot \nu(x) \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma_0 dt \leq C\lambda \mathbb{E} \int_{\Sigma_0} \Theta \theta^2 \left| \frac{\partial y}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\Gamma_0 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Finally, combining (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we conclude the desired estimate (1.12). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof follows easily from Theorem 1.2 and the usual energy estimate. We omit the details. $\square$

References

- [1] V. Barbu, A. Răscanu and G. Tessitore, *Carleman estimate and controllability of linear stochastic heat equations*, *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 47 (2003), pp. 97–120.
- [2] X. Fu, J. Yong and X. Zhang, *Exact controllability for the multidimensional semilinear hyperbolic equations*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, in press.
- [3] V. Isakov, *Carleman estimates and applications to inverse problems*, *Milan J. Math.*, 72 (2004), pp. 249–271.
- [4] J.-L. Lions, *Contrôlabilité exacte, perturbations et stabilisation de systèmes distribués, Tome 1, Contrôlabilité exacte*, *Recherches en Mathématiques Appliquées*, 8, Masson, Paris, 1988.
- [5] S. Tang and X. Zhang, *Carleman inequality for backward stochastic parabolic equations with general coefficients*, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 339 (2004), pp. 775–780.
- [6] X. Zhang, *Explicit observability inequalities for the wave equation with lower order terms by means of Carleman inequalities*, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 39 (2001), pp. 812–834.
- [7] X. Zhang and E. Zuazua, *A sharp observability inequality for Kirchhoff plate systems with potentials*, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, in press.
- [8] E. Zuazua, *Controllability and observability of partial differential equations: some results and open problems*, in *Handbook of Differential Equations: Evolutionary Differential Equations*, vol. 3, Elsevier Science, 2006, pp. 527–621.